



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/642,660	08/22/2000	Jonathan Schneck	01107.00042	9271
75	90 04/06/2004		EXAMINER	
Banner & Witcoff Ltd			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H	
1001 G Street NW Washington, DC 20001-4597			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/642,660	SCHNECK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher H Yaen	1642			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Faile Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  ' CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 12 January 2004				
2a)☐		☐ This action is non-final.				
3)□	•	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>28-32 and 51-60</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) <u>28-31,51-55,59 and 60</u> is/are a Claim(s) <u>32 and 56-58</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration. llowed.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) 'Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO// r No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/642,660 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

### **DETAILED ACTION**

RE: Schneck et al

Priority Date: 28 March 1996

1. The amendment filed 1/12/2004 is acknowledged and entered into the record.

Accordingly, claims 1-27 and 33-50 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

2. Claims 28-32, and 51-60 are pending and examined on the merits.

## **New Arguments**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 32 56, 57, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The written description in this case has only set forth a molecular complex comprising four fusion proteins that associate to form a molecular complex, and therefore the written description in this case is not commensurate in scope to claims that read on a molecular complexes further comprising antigenic peptide that are bound to said complexes. The following written description rejection is set forth herein.

Application/Control Number: 09/642,660

Art Unit: 1642

The claims recite an "antigenic peptide" as part of the invention. The specification defines an "antigenic peptide" as any peptide capable of inducing an immune response (page 19 lines 14-15). However, there does not appear to be an adequate written description in the specification as-filed of the essential structural features of the antigenic peptides, and what core structure is required to performs the function of inducing an immune response. The Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement make clear that the written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the genus (Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001, see especially page 1106 3<sup>rd</sup> column).

Applicant does not appear to have reduced to practice a representative number of molecular complexes that comprise the broad range of antigenic peptides claimed. Neither has Applicant provided a sufficient written description of any structure that may be correlated to the "antigenic peptide". An "antigenic peptide" encompasses any molecule with the activity of stimulating/inducing an immune response, of which encompasses a vast number of possible peptides sequences of which the specification has not adequately disclosed, so as to be entitled to the broad genus of peptides

Art Unit: 1642

claimed. Thus the genus of compounds encompassed by this term is extensive and the artisan would not be able to recognize that Applicant was in possession of the invention as now claimed.

Consequently, Applicant was not in possession of the instant claimed invention.

See Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. 119 F.3d 1559, 43

USPQ2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Adequate written description of genetic material

"requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties,' not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention." Id. 43

USPQ2d at 1404 (quoting Fiers, 984 F.2d at 1171, 25 USPQ2d at 1606). The disclosure must allow one skilled in the art to visualize or recognize the identity of the subject matter of the claim. Id. 43 USPQ2d at 1406. A description of what the genetic material does, rather than of what it is, does not suffice. Id.

Applicant is directed to the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001. Applicant is invited to point to clear support or specific examples of the claimed invention in the specification as-filed.

All other rejections are withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendments and arguments thereto as set forth in a paper filed 1/12/2004.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/642,660

Art Unit: 1642

5.

Claims 28-31, 51-55, and 59-60 appear to be free of the prior art. Claims 32 56,

Page 5

57, and 58 are no allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher H Yaen whose telephone number is 571-

272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642 March 25, 2004

> GARY NICKOL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jany & Nickol